

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10

11 ROBERT M. BENSON,

No. 2:01-cv-1623-MCE-CMK-P

12 Petitioner,

13 v.

ORDER

14 CHERYL PLILER, et al.,

15 Respondents.
16 _____/

17 Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, brings this Petition for a Writ of Habeas
18 Corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate
19 Judge pursuant to Eastern District of California local rules.

20 On August 30, 2006, the magistrate judge filed Findings and Recommendations herein
21 which were served on Petitioner and which contained notice to Petitioner that any objections to
22 the Findings and Recommendations were to be filed within ten days. Petitioner has filed
23 Objections to the Findings and Recommendations.

24 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 72-304,
25 this Court has conducted a de novo review of this case.

26 ///

1 Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the Court finds the Findings and Recommendations to
2 be supported by the record and by proper analysis.

3 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 4 1. The Findings and Recommendations filed August 30, 2006, are adopted in full;
- 5 2. Petitioner's Petition for a Writ of Habeas Corpus is denied; and
- 6 3. The Clerk of the Court is directed to enter judgment and close this file.

7 DATED: November 20, 2006

8
9 

10 MORRISON C. ENGLAND, JR.
11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26